

EFFECT OF PARTICLE-SIZE AND AIR FLOW RATES ON THE IGNITION TEMPERATURE OF SUB-BITUMINOUS COAL

Ms. Amruta Gokhale¹, Dr. Sanjay Bokade² and Shashank Shetty³

^{1,2,3} Mechanical Engineering, MCT's Rajiv Gandhi Institute of Technology, Mumbai University, Andheri (W), India

Abstract— Spontaneous ignition and combustion of coal are the major problems for its mining and usage. The temperature of ignition or combustion of the coal mainly depends upon its rank. Generally, coals having lower ranks are more prone to the spontaneous ignition or combustion than the coal having higher ranks. But many times, as an exception, as the rank increases, the coal becomes more susceptible to spontaneous ignition. To check the variables which affect the susceptibility of the ignition of the coal, practical experimentation can be carried with some proper combustion setup. Bituminous coal is generally used in many applications. But to reduce the use of it, sub-bituminous coal may be examined.

Keywords— Bituminous Coal, Sub-bituminous Coal, Volatile Material, Particle Size, air flow rate, Ignition Temperature.

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Combustion of coal in burner

Combustion process can be done by different methods such as fluidised bed combustion(FBC), pulverised coal combustion, integrated coal gasification combined cycle (IGCC). In FBC, fuel particles are suspended in a hot, bubbling fluidity bed of ash and other particulate materials (sand, limestone etc.) through which jets of air are blown to provide the oxygen required for combustion or gasification. The resultant fast and intimate mixing of gas and solids promotes rapid heat transfer and chemical reactions within the bed.

In pulverised combustion, the basic idea of a firing system using pulverised fuel is to use the whole volume of the furnace for the combustion of solid fuels. Coal is ground to the size of a fine grain, mixed with air and burned in the flue gas flow. Biomass and other materials can also be added to the mixture. Coal contains mineral matter which is converted to ash during combustion. The ash is removed as bottom ash and fly ash. The bottom ash is removed at the furnace bottom.

1.2 Coal Contents

The classification of coal is generally based on the content of volatiles. Other deciding contents in the coal are Carbon, Hydrogen, Oxygen, Sulphur and its heat content. Other than these, maceral content in the coal is also a deciding parameter in the classification of coals. Maceral in the coal is analogues to the minerals in rocks. Examples of maceral are inertinite, vitrinite, and liptinite

1.2.1 Inertinite

Inertinite is oxidized organic material or fossilized charcoal. It is found as tiny flakes within sedimentary rocks. The presence of inertinite is significant in the geological record, as it signifies that wildfires occurred at the time that the host sediment was deposited. It is also an indication of oxidation due to atmospheric exposure or fungal decomposition during deposition. Inertinite is a common maceral in most types of coal. The main inertinite submacerals are fusinite, semifusinite, micrinite, macrinite and funginite. Most common type of inertinitemaceral is semifusinite.

1.2.2 Vitrinite

Vitrinite is one of the primary components of coals and most sedimentary kerogens. Vitrinite is a type of maceral, where "macerals" are organic components of coal analogous to the "minerals" of roks. Vitrinite has a shiny appearance resembling glass (vitreous). It is derived from the cell-wall

material or woody tissue of the plants from which coal was formed. Chemically, it is composed of polymers, cellulose and lignin

1.2.3 Liptinite

In coal geology, liptinite is the finely-ground and macerated remains found in coal deposits. It replaced the term exinite as one of the four categories of kerogen. Liptinites were originally formed by spores, pollen, dinoflagellate cysts, leaf cuticles, and plant resins and waxes

1.3 Purpose of using Sub-Bituminous coal

In India, Bituminous coal is being used widely. To check the effects on the overall results, different types of coal can be used. In the following discussion we will see the overall comparison of the Sub-Bituminous coal and Bituminous coal.

1.3.1 Comparison with Bituminous coal

Table1: Comparison of bituminous and sub-bituminous coal

| Parameter | Bituminous | Sub-Bituminous coal |
|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| GCV (kJ/kg) | 19,000 to 29750 | 18840 to 23000 |
| Carbon content | 43 to 75% | 43 to 75% |
| Moisture content | Less than 12% | 12 to 25% |

Volatile matter in Sub-bituminous coal is always higher than the Bituminous coal. Hence the ignition takes place earlier. The ignition point of sub-bituminous coal is slightly lower than the bituminous coal.

II. PREVIOUS STUDIES

2.1 Effect of Particle Size

The classification of coal is generally based on the content of volatiles. Other deciding contents in the coal are Carbon, Hydrogen, Oxygen, Sulphur and its heat content. Other than these, maceral content in the coal is also a deciding parameter resulting in the difference in the oxidation potential. Examples of maceral are inertinite, vitrinite, exinite etc.

From the study done the coal in the particle size range of $1\text{mm} < \text{particle size} < 6\text{ mm}$ is most susceptible to spontaneous combustion. The results indicate that there is a sudden jump in runaway temperature at $T_g > 493\text{ K}$ for particle size $< 106\ \mu\text{m}$. As the particle size increases, the T_g value required to induce a sudden jump in runaway temperature value also increases. In fact, for particle size $> 850\ \mu\text{m}$ no jump in runaway temperature is observed at $290\text{ K} < T < 700\text{K}$ with or without inert gas preheat treatment. The influence of particle size upon the concentration required for a lower-limit mixture diminishes as particle size decreases, and below about 60 microns, the effect of particle size becomes inappreciable^[3]. If the surface temperature of a particle initially at 100°C should suddenly reach 1100°C , about 0.02 seconds would be required for the centre to reach 1090°C in the case of a 100 micron particle, and less than 0.001 seconds would be required if the particle size was 30 microns^[3]. Different types of coal from different parts have different glow-points^[4]. They also have different ash and moisture content. The glow point is probably affected by the oxygen content of the coal. Particle size appears to have a small effect on coal ignition. Overall, the $<53\ \mu\text{m}$ fraction behaved very similar to the whole coal. However, the large size fractions ($>53\ \mu\text{m}$) of a coal were generally slightly more difficult to ignite and always resulted in a significantly lower weight loss compared to its corresponding small size fraction ($<53\ \mu\text{m}$).

To find out the effect of coal particle size on the combustion properties, the TG, DTG and DSC data were compared^[6]. It was found that the peak temperatures of the three coal particles $<63\ \mu\text{m}$, $63 - 100\ \mu\text{m}$ and $100 - 200\ \mu\text{m}$ are 514, 530 and 561°C , respectively. The exothermic heats for the particles $<63\ \mu\text{m}$ and $63 - 100\ \mu\text{m}$ in the temperature range of $300 - 450^\circ\text{C}$ are higher than that for $100 - 200\ \mu\text{m}$ because of the heterogeneous reactions of volatiles and char.

The combustion efficiency was observed to increase with bed temperature for the 6.3 and 9.5 mm coals. The 12.5 mm results show only a slight sensitivity to bed temperature ($1043\text{ K} - 1193\text{ k}$,

20% excess air). The highest carbon combustion efficiency of 95% is achieved with the 9.5 nun at a bed temperature of 1193 K.

2.2 Effect of Air Flow Rate

To determine the effects of air flow temperature, $T = 493$ K was chosen since a sudden jump in runaway temperature was observed at this temperature. The runaway temperature shows a parabolic dependence on the air flow rates^[1]. Increasing the gas temperature dramatically decreased the ignition delay time for both the Pittsburgh #8 vitrain and the Beulah vitrain. It was concluded from the research that^[2], ignition delay depends strongly on the gas temperature.

Ray Arms^[4] considered three different cases of air supply to observe the effect of air and oxygen on coal ignition. In the first case, the coal was heated in an ordinary manner by of a very small stream of oxygen. In the second case, the stream was cut off at 300oC and in the third case; the blast of the oxygen was played on the sample. It was observed that the glow point is largely dependent upon the air supply, but that the actual temperature of the glow point, no matter how it is approached, is not altered.

Man and Gibbins^[5] concluded from their study that, almost all the coals ignited in O₂ in CO₂ at some point. The concentration of O₂ in CO₂ that gave a similar ignition comparable to that in air was established to be between 30 and 35%. This is consistent with recent data reported by CANMET, which concluded that the heat flux from oxyfuel experiments between 28 and 35% O₂ in CO₂ was comparable to that carried out in air.

Brikci-Nigassa, Garbett and Hedley^[7] studied three different samples of coal of 6.3, 9.5 and 12.5mm. An increase in carbon combustion efficiency is achieved with the increase of air up to about 20-25%, a further increase in excess air beyond this value does not improve the carbon combustion efficiency significantly.

III. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

3.1 Construction

1. A circular chamber is designed with a diameter of 300mm and height 300mm with 80mm thick inside wall.
2. The heater is placed all around its periphery inside the wall
3. The chamber is filled with the sand which is then heated electrically by using the heater.
4. Within the sand a nickel crucible is placed in which the coal is being burnt.
5. Two cylinders, one containing oxygen another, containing nitrogen are placed near the chamber.
6. The crucible is provided with a cap which has three holes, out of which 1 is to feed oxygen and another for nitrogen. The third hole works as a vent.
7. Two flow meters are connected between the flow lines of oxygen and nitrogen to measure the volume flow rate
8. Two thermocouples are connected near the crucible to measure the temperature.
9. Temperatures are shown in the temperature indicator.




Fig 1: Schematic of coal combustion Setup



Fig 2: Experimental Setup

3.2 Working

The coal sample of required size is kept inside the crucible and the cap is kept to cover it. After switching the heater on, the stopwatch is being started. Oxygen and nitrogen are the allowed to flow from the cylinder to the crucible through the flow meter. The flow is set according to the requirements. The coal follows a series of following phenomena

- Commencement of visible active combustion, glowing of the mass
- Ignition as manifested by an explosion or production of flame and the visible combustion.

Out of these the special points noted were the time interval before visible combustion. Explosion or production of flame can be hazardous in the environment of the setup or experiment, hence not observed. And the experiment was stopped before the temperature could reach this point.

The progress, of the combustion was watched through a central hole in the lid and the residue after visible combustion had ceased was examined preparatory to the introduction of a fresh charge. Tests were made on the same coal under various temperature conditions in the apparatus.

Table2: Dimesions of Setup

| | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Dimensions of the chamber: | |
| Outer diameter | 36 cm |
| Thickness | 8 cm |
| Height | 48 cm |
| Dimensions of the crucible | |
| Outer diameter | 8 cm |
| Thickness | 1.2 cm |
| Height | 12 cm |
| Thermocouple Type | Type K (Chromel-Alumel) |
| Thermocouple Range | -200 °C to +1350 °C |
| Dimensions of pipeline | |
| Diameter | 6mm |
| Total length | 195mm |
| Heater Capacity | 1kW |

IV. OBSERVATIONS

Coal particles were distributed in three parts according to their sizes. 120 to 150 μm, 151 to 200 μm and 251 to 500 μm. Air flow rate is varied from 0.75LPM to 1.2LPM. Time for each reading was measured. Practical data and analytical data was then checked.

4.1 Observation Table

Out of all the readings taken, 9 readings are shown in the research. Coal particles are divided into three catagories. For each category, air flow is kept constant as shown below. All the readings taken are tabulated as below.

Table 3: Observation Readings

| Particle Size (μm) | Air Flow Rate LPM | Time | Thermometer Reading (°C) | | Ignition Temperature | |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-----|
| | | | T ₁ | T ₂ | °C | K |
| 120 to150 | 1.2 | 2hrs 37mins | 417 | 401 | 409 | 682 |
| | 0.9 | 2hrs 45mins | 425 | 405 | 415 | 688 |
| | 0.75 | 2hrs 59mins | 429 | 411 | 420 | 693 |
| 151 to 200 | 1.2 | 3hrs 16mins | 364 | 352 | 358 | 631 |
| | 0.9 | 3hrs 34mins | 369 | 357 | 363 | 636 |
| | 0.75 | 3hrs 57mins | 376 | 360 | 368 | 641 |

| | | | | | | |
|------------|------|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 201 to 250 | 1.2 | 3hrs 30mins | 353 | 333 | 343 | 616 |
| | 0.9 | 3hrs 42mins | 356 | 340 | 348 | 621 |
| | 0.75 | 4hrs 03mins | 362 | 342 | 352 | 625 |

V. ANALYTICAL MODEL

5.1 Mathematical Model

Heat Transfer from the heater as well as the oxygen+nitrogen gases is equal to the heat absorbed by the coal particles. Considering the basic heat transfer laws and the setup, we can draw a mathematical model as,

Heat absorbed by coal particles = Conductive heat transfer from heater to crucible + Convective heat transfer from air to crucible

$$m.C_p \left(\frac{dT}{dt} \right) = R * (T_H - T) + h * A_s (T - T_G)$$

where,

m is the mass of coal particles; C_p is the specific heat capacity of coal; R is the resistance in conduction for heat transfer from cylindrical chamber, sand and crucible; T_H is the heater temperature; T is the coal ignition temperature; h is the convective heat transfer coefficient; A_s is the surface area of coal; T_G is the air temperature.

$$m.C_p \left(\frac{dT}{dt} \right) = 0.1167 * (973 - T) + h.A_s (T - 623)$$

m = Density * volume

Density for coal = 833 kg/m³

Considering the particle size are approximately spherical in shape, volume = 4π.r³/3

A_s is the surface area of the particle which can be formulated as, 4π.r²

Integrating this function, and taking the condition at t=0, T=303K (room temperature), we get the final equation as

$$T = [\exp [\{ (h.A_s - 0.1167) * t / (m * C_p) \} + \ln (80.5229 - 320 * h.A_s)] - (115.833 - 623 * h.A_s)] / (h.A_s - 0.1167)$$

h is the convective heat transfer which can be found from the basic equation of the Nusselt Number

$$Nu = (hd/k)$$

Where,

Nu is a function of Reynolds No. and Prandtl No which are found out from the property table of air; d is the diameter of the pipeline and k is the thermal conductivity of air at 623K.

For Reynolds No, velocity needs to be found out.

Flow rate = velocity * area

$$\text{Area} = \pi * (0.006)^2$$

5.2 Analytical results

For h,

At 1.20LPM, h = 554.49 W/m²K

At 0.90LPM, h = 488.93 W/m²K

At 0.75LPM, h = 422.57 W/m²K

Calculations are done for the particle size from 120 to 250 separately. Results are shown for sizes between 120μm to 150μm. Time taken for the analytical results is the time taken by coal particles in the experimental readings.

Table 4: Analytical results for 120-150μm

| Size | M | m.C _p | A _s | T (K) | | | Size | m | m.C _p | A _s | T (K) | | |
|------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| μm | (*10 ⁻⁴) | | (x10 ⁻⁸) | h = 554.49 W/m ² .K | h = 488.93 W/m ² .K | h = 422.57 W/m ² .K | μm | (*10 ⁻⁴) | | (x10 ⁻⁸) | h = 554.49 W/m ² .K | h = 488.93 W/m ² .K | h = 422.57 W/m ² .K |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-------|------|------|--------|--------|--------|-----|------|------|------|--------|--------|--------|
| 120 | 7.53 | 1.00 | 4.52 | 777.14 | 777.98 | 784.12 | 136 | 10.9 | 1.45 | 5.81 | 718.84 | 720.78 | 726.09 |
| 121 | 7.72 | 1.02 | 4.60 | 772.16 | 773.15 | 779.28 | 137 | 11.2 | 1.49 | 5.89 | 716.32 | 718.27 | 723.51 |
| 122 | 7.92 | 1.05 | 4.67 | 767.42 | 768.54 | 774.64 | 138 | 11.4 | 1.52 | 5.98 | 713.89 | 715.85 | 721.02 |
| 123 | 8.11 | 1.07 | 4.75 | 762.88 | 764.12 | 770.19 | 139 | 11.7 | 1.55 | 6.07 | 711.55 | 713.52 | 718.62 |
| 124 | 8.31 | 1.10 | 4.83 | 758.55 | 759.90 | 765.93 | 140 | 11.9 | 1.58 | 6.15 | 709.29 | 711.27 | 716.30 |
| 125 | 8.51 | 1.13 | 4.91 | 754.42 | 755.86 | 761.84 | 141 | 12.2 | 1.62 | 6.24 | 707.12 | 709.10 | 714.05 |
| 126 | 8.72 | 1.16 | 4.99 | 750.46 | 751.98 | 757.92 | 142 | 12.4 | 1.65 | 6.33 | 705.02 | 707.01 | 711.89 |
| 127 | 8.93 | 1.18 | 5.06 | 746.67 | 748.27 | 754.15 | 143 | 12.7 | 1.69 | 6.42 | 703.00 | 704.98 | 709.79 |
| 128 | 9.14 | 1.21 | 5.14 | 743.04 | 744.70 | 750.54 | 144 | 13.0 | 1.72 | 6.51 | 701.05 | 703.02 | 707.76 |
| 129 | 9.36 | 1.24 | 5.23 | 739.56 | 741.28 | 747.06 | 145 | 13.2 | 1.76 | 6.60 | 699.16 | 701.13 | 705.80 |
| 130 | 9.58 | 1.27 | 5.31 | 736.23 | 738.00 | 743.71 | 146 | 13.5 | 1.80 | 6.69 | 697.34 | 699.30 | 703.90 |
| 131 | 9.80 | 1.30 | 5.39 | 733.03 | 734.84 | 740.49 | 147 | 13.8 | 1.83 | 6.79 | 695.58 | 697.53 | 702.07 |
| 132 | 10.03 | 1.33 | 5.47 | 729.96 | 731.81 | 737.39 | 148 | 14.1 | 1.87 | 6.88 | 693.88 | 695.82 | 700.28 |
| 133 | 10.26 | 1.36 | 5.55 | 727.01 | 728.89 | 734.41 | 149 | 14.4 | 1.91 | 6.97 | 692.23 | 694.16 | 698.56 |
| 134 | 10.49 | 1.39 | 5.64 | 724.18 | 726.09 | 731.53 | 150 | 14.7 | 1.95 | 7.07 | 690.64 | 692.56 | 696.89 |
| 135 | 10.73 | 1.42 | 5.72 | 721.46 | 723.38 | 728.76 | | | | | | | |

From the results obtained, the average of the ignition temperature for the particle size ranging between 120 to 150µm to compare it with the practical data obtained. Similarly, temperature for the samples between 151-200µm and 251-500µm are calculated and taken the average as tabulated below.

Table5: Average temperature readings for different particle sizes

| Air Flow Rate (LPM) | h (W/m ² .K) | Coal Particle Size (µm) | T (K) | Coal Particle Size (µm) | T (K) | Coal Particle Size (µm) | T (K) |
|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------|-------------------------|--------|-------------------------|--------|
| 1.2 | 554.48 | 120-150 | 723.53 | 151-200 | 670.20 | 251-500 | 651.69 |
| 0.9 | 488.93 | | 725.30 | | 671.79 | | 652.36 |
| 0.75 | 422.57 | | 730.58 | | 674.47 | | 653.44 |

VI. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

From various readings and observations, graphs are plotted for various relations. To show the effect of particle size, time and air flow rate on temperatures, the relevant figures, the results are shown graphically as follows.

After these graphical presentations, comparison between practical and analytical readings is checked.

6.1 Results from Practical Data

1) Effect of Particle size on temperature




Fig 3: Effect of Particle size on temperature

2) Effect of Air Flow rate on temperature




Fig 4: Effect of Air Flow rate on temperature

3) Effect of time for variable particle size




Fig 5: Effect of time for variable particle size

4) Effect of time for variable air flow rates




Fig 6: Effect of time for variable air flow rates

6.2 Comparison of Practical and Analytical Data

6.2.1 For Different Particle sizes

1) For 120-150 μm




Fig 7: Analytical and practical comparison for 120-150 μm

2) For 151-200 μm




Fig 8: Analytical and practical comparison for 151-200 μm

3) For 251-500 μm




Fig 9: Analytical and practical comparison for 201-250 μm

6.2.2 For Different Particle sizes

1) For 1.2LPM




Fig 10: Analytical and practical comparison for 1.20LPM

2) For 0.9LPM




Fig 11: Analytical and practical comparison for 0.90LPM

3) For 0.75LPM




Fig 12: Analytical and practical comparison for 0.75LPM

VII. CONCLUSION

- 1) Ignition temperature decreases as the particle size increases. For the same coal particle size, temperature decreases for the lower flow-rate. This is because of the more area exposure of the particle. As the area of the particle increases, the susceptibility of ignition increases.
- 2) For particle size ranging from 120 to 150 μ m, ignition temperature is, from experimental data, 682K for 1.2LPM, 688K for 0.9LPM and 693K for 0.75LPM. whereas from analytical data that is 723.53K for 1.2LPM, 725.3K for 0.9LPM and 730.58K for 0.75LPM.
- 3) For particle size ranging from 151-200 μ m, ignition temperature is, from experimental data, 631K for 1.2LPM, 636K for 0.9LPM and 641K for 0.75LPM. whereas from analytical data that is 670.20K for 1.2LPM, 671.79K for 0.9LPM and 674.47K for 0.75LPM.
- 4) For particle size ranging from 251-500 μ m, ignition temperature is, from experimental data, 616K for 1.2LPM, 612K for 0.9LPM and 625K for 0.75LPM. whereas from analytical data that is 651.69K for 1.2LPM, 652.36K for 0.9LPM and 653.44K for 0.75LPM.
- 5) Ignition temperature increases as the air flow rate decreases. For the same flow rate, coal with a lower dimension has a higher value of ignition temperature. As the air flow rate decreases, the velocity decreases which leads to a lower value of convective heat transfer coefficient. This results in slower ignition of the coal.

- 6) For air flow rate of 1.2LPM, ignition temperature is, from experimental data, 682K for 120-150 μm , 631K for 151-200 μm and 616K for 251-500 μm . whereas from analytical data that is 723.53K for 120-150 μm , 670.20K for 151-200 μm and 651.69K for 251-500 μm
- 7) For air flow rate of 0.9LPM, ignition temperature is, from experimental data, 688K for 120-150 μm , 636K for 151-200 μm and 621K for 251-500 μm . whereas from analytical data that is 725.30K for 120-150 μm , 671.79K for 151-200 μm and 652.36K for 251-500 μm
- 8) For air flow rate of 0.75LPM, ignition temperature is, from experimental data, 693K for 120-150 μm , 641K for 151-200 μm and 625K for 251-500 μm . whereas from analytical data that is 730.58K for 120-150 μm , 674.47K for 151-200 μm and 653.44K for 251-500 μm
- 9) Time taken by the coal particles ranging between 120 to 150 μm is lesser than time taken by the coal particles ranging between 151 to 200 μm which is further lesser than time taken by the coal particles ranging between 251 to 500 μm . Again, this is because of the area exposure of the coal particles. More the area available, more the time taken to ignite.
- 10) But for the same flow rate, increase in the particle size takes lesser time to ignite.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Authors acknowledge the Department of Mechanical Engineering of MCT's Rajiv Gandhi Institute of Technology and the University of Mumbai, Dr. R.V. Kale for giving us the opportunity to do this project and "Jadhav Engineering Works" for helping in fabrication of the setup. Ms. Amruta Gokhale and Mr. Shashank Shetty thank to Dr. Sanjay U. Bokade for helping and guiding throughout the project

REFERENCES

- [1] Ray W. Arms, "The Ignition Temperature Of Coal", University Of Illinios, Urbana, 2007.
- [2] V. M. Malhotra, J . C. Crelling, "Effects Of Particle Size And Air Flow Rates On Tee Runaway Temperature Bituminous Coal At $290\text{ K} < T < 700\text{ K}$ ", Southern Illinois University.
- [3] Philip G. Sweeny, Dana T. Grow, and Donald P. McCollor, "Studies On Ignition Of Coal: The Effects Of Rank, Temperature, Volatile Content, And Lithotype", University of North Dakota Energy and Mineral Research Center
- [4] Jack B. Howard, Robert H. Essenhigh, "Pyrolysis Of Coal Particles In Pulverized Fuel Flame", American Chemical Society Pittsburgh Meeting, 1966.
- [5] C.K. Man, J.R. Gibbins, "Factors affecting coal particle ignition under oxyfuel combustion atmospheres", Department of Mechanical Engineering, Imperial College London.
- [6] Dunxi Yu, Yun Yu, "Effect Of Coal Particle Size On The Proximate Composition And Combustion Properties", ELSEVIER-ThermochemicaActa 439 (2005) 103–109, 2005
- [7] I. W. Smith, "The Combustion Rates Of Coal Chars: A Review", Nineteenth Symposium (International) On Combustion/The Combustion Institute, 1982/PP. 1045-1065, 1982.
- [8] M. Brikci-nigassa, E.S. GarbettAnd A.B. Hedley, "The Effect Of Coal Particle Size On The Performance Of A Fluidised Bed Coal Combustor", University Of Sheffield Sheffield.
- [9] M. S. Krishnan, "Classification of coals", Geological Survey of India, VOL VI-No.3, 1940.
- [10] Chetan T. Patel, Dr.Bhavesh K. patel, Vijay K. Patel, "Efficiency With Different GCV of Coal and Efficiency Improvement Opportunity in Boiler", International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology Vol. 2, Issue 5, May 2013.