

Recommender Systems

Pallavi Mude¹, Prof Rahila Sheikh²

¹PG Student, ²Asst. Professor

¹Dept. of Computer Science & Engg, Rajiv Gandhi College of Engg. Research & Technology
Chandrapur, India

²Department of Computer Technology, Rajiv Gandhi College of Engg. Research & Technology
Chandrapur, India

Abstract-- Abundant information is extracted from web at every second. When queries are thrown to the search engine, they are generally contain few words and are in natural languages. The search engine is not able to identify natural language and thus it become difficult to extract correct information from world wide web based on the users interest. Here, the recommendation technique comes into picture. There are number of recommendation applications available in market which is used to support various kinds of data sources like text, images and videos. In this review we list out the approaches, techniques and application of recommendation system which helps to map future direction.

Keywords: search engine, recommendation application, world wide web, images, videos.

I. INTRODUCTION

In mid 1990s [1],[2],[3] , the first researched on collaborative filtering was introduced . since then Recommender system have become a really important research topic. Both in academic and industrial area, new approaches to recommender system were developed in last decade.

The interest in this area rapidly increasing because it constitute a problem rich research area and many of practical applications that support users to deal with data overload and supply personalized recommendations, services and content to them. Following are some examples of such applications-products at Amazon [4], CDs , recommendation books, and news at VERSIFI Technologies (formerly AdaptiveInfo.com) [5], movies by MovieLens [6]. Many vendors have preferred to incorporate recommendation capabilities into their commerce server.

Even though recommender system possess many advances still it needs some further more improvements to enhance their efficiency and make them applicable to broader range of real-life applications, including recommending vacations, some financial services to financiers, and products purchased by smart shopping cart [7].

These improvements includes-

- More advanced recommendation modelling methods.
- Better methods for representing user behavior and the information about the items to be recommended.
- Utilization of multi criteria ratings
- Incorporation of various contextual information into the recommendation process

During this review, we first present the classification of recommender system research in section II . In section III, we briefly review the areas where the recommendation system is used. and in section IV we describe the summary of this review paper is presented.

1.1. Classification of Recommender Systems Research

Recommender systems are categorised based on how recommendations are made [8] These approaches are described below:

- Content-based recommendations: The user will be recommended items similar to the ones the user preferred in the past;
- Collaborative recommendations: The user will be recommended items that people with similar preferences and tastes liked in the past;
- Hybrid approaches: These methods combine content-based and collaborative methods.

Table 1 shows the recommendation approaches with advantages and disadvantages.

1.2. Application of Recommendation system

The recommendation system works on many areas such as Nursing care plan recommender system, Music recommendation system, movie recommendation system, Healthy Diet Recommendation System, Recommendation System in Amazon.com, etc. These systems are discussed below.

1.2.1. Nursing care plan recommender system

This recommendation system provides nursing education, clinical decision support, clinical quality control. Patient care scenario are very complex so it is difficult for many nurses to create intelligent and effective comprehensive care plans for their patients. This system helps to rank the list of suggested items in order to maximize the efficiency and care quality in hospital. Table 2 shows the sample ranking list.

Table 1 : Recommendation Approach

Recommendation Approach	Recommendation Technique	
	Heuristic-based	Model-based
Content-based	Commonly used techniques: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TF-IDF (information retrieval) • Clustering Representative research examples: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lang 1995 • Balabanovic & Shoham 1997 • Pazzani & Billsus 1997 	Commonly used techniques: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bayesian classifiers • Clustering • Decision trees • Artificial neural networks Representative research examples: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pazzani & Billsus 1997 • Mooney et al. 1998 • Mooney & Roy 1999 • Billsus & Pazzani 1999, 2000 • Zhang et al. 2002
Collaborative	Commonly used techniques: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nearest neighbor (cosine, correlation) • Clustering • Graph theory Representative research examples: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Resnick et al. 1994 • Hill et al. 1995 • Shardanand & Maes 1995 • Breese et al. 1998 • Nakamura & Abe 1998 • Aggarwal et al. 1999 • Delgado & Ishii 1999 • Pennock & Horwitz 1999 • Sarwar et al. 2001 	Commonly used techniques: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bayesian networks • Clustering • Artificial neural networks • Linear regression • Probabilistic models Representative research examples: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Billsus & Pazzani 1998 • Breese et al. 1998 • Ungar & Foster 1998 • Chien & George 1999 • Getoor & Sahami 1999 • Pennock & Horwitz 1999 • Goldberg et al. 2001 • Kumar et al. 2001 • Pavlov & Pennock 2002 • Shani et al. 2002 • Yu et al. 2002, 2004 • Hofmann 2003, 2004 • Marlin 2003 • Si & Jin 2003
Hybrid	Combining content-based and collaborative components using: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Linear combination of predicted ratings • Various voting schemes • Incorporating one component as a part of the heuristic for the other Representative research examples: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Balabanovic & Shoham 1997 • Claypool et al. 1999 • Good et al. 1999 • Pazzani 1999 • Billsus & Pazzani 2000 • Tran & Cohen 2000 • Melville et al. 2002 	Combining content-based and collaborative components by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incorporating one component as a part of the model for the other • Building one unifying model Representative research examples: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Basu et al. 1998 • Condliff et al. 1999 • Soboroff & Nicholas 1999 • Ansari et al. 2000 • Popescul et al. 2001 • Schein et al. 2002

Table 2: A Sample ranking list

Previous selection:		You have selected 28 (health maintenance), 12 (pain acute).		
Ranking list	Ranking	Code	Description	Value
	1	52	Knowledge deficit	0.91
	2	37	Risk for infection	0.66
	3	39	High risk for injury	0.33
	4	68	Physical mobility alteration	0.19
	5	05	Anxiety	0.17
	6	78	Skin integrity, impaired	0.16
	7	67	Self-care deficit, bathing/hygiene	0.10
	8	79	Skin integrity, risk for impaired	0.05

There are two properties of clinical recommender systems : 1) To recommend all the required items to nurses. 2) There is rating system because a patient's requirement for a particular item is based on objective means and not on subjective desires[9].

1.2.2. Music Recommendation

Music recommender is used to help the users to search out and filter songs according to their tastes. A good music Recommendation system should be able to detect preferences and generate playlists automatically. Meanwhile, the development of recommender systems provides a great opportunity for industry to aggregate the users who are interested in music. It raises one important challenge to understand and model the users preference in music [10].

iTunes is one of the best software for recommender systems which is not useful for music download but for the integrated rating system. It uses collaborative filtering technique to generate music recommendations.

The system takes ratings from every user's of iTune play lists and compares the ratings with those of other iTune users who also have rated for their own music's. The advantage is each iTune users have large amounts of music files.

By using the abundant file information, the music recommender system can easily discover the taste of the user, predict what others the user would like, and recommend potential music items of interest. One interesting fact is that although the services is primarily for iTunes users, the system was invented not by Apple Computer, but by researchers at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. Currently, explicit development for improving the recommend system is still done by the student researchers[11].

1.2.3. Healthy Diet Recommendation System

Medical study has disclose that humans set a bigger possibility 1) countering free radicals 2) warding off illness by increasing their resistant system and by consumption of healthy foods. Because of poor eating habits, people suffers from many diseases. The fast food is current trend and it is easily available food in market but this type of food causes heart attack, diabetics etc. Healthier diets keep us away from many diseases and help us to maintain our health. For better recovery from surgery and diseases etc individual person have special treatment and needs according to their medical profile, nutrient requirements and cultural backgrounds. So design and implementation of healthy diet recommendation system is necessary.

Performance analysis of healthy diet recommendation system recommends the food that is beneficial for your health. This recommendation system acquire people eating habit data in the database which could track people's recipe record. There are two domains: Administrator and member.

At the administrator's end, design system helps to get rules for the large data set for food test it over random values. Administrator applies these rules over the all members to get suggestion for healthy food. User can manage their account by providing medical and health profile which are input

attribute for data mining rules set. The output is suggested healthy diet according to input given by particular user. .If member update their health and medical profile the diet suggestion also update according to attributes[12].

1.2.4. Recommendation System in Amazon.com

Amazon.com uses recommendation algorithms to personalize the online store for every customer. The recommendation items are continuously changing based on their user interest. It is easy to pretend that what user interest is, what the user's job is, in what situation the user is, to what area the user's taste is changed and then it suggests the precisely adequate items to the user.

The recommend system of Amazon.com is well known for item-based filtering whose algorithm scales the number of customers and number of items [13]. There are 4 information gathering parts :

1. "Your Browsing History" : The system compares user's activity on the site with those of other customers. On the basis of both the results of examination and comparison, system decides and recommends the product items that would be interesting to the customer.
2. "Rate These Items" : they already have, and they rated
3. "Improve Your Recommendations (Items you own)" : The system determines customer's interest by examining the items that they have bought.
4. "Your Profile". : User assumed that the system could analyze the user's social network. and discover similar likes and dislikes of users. To analyze the user's social network, the system will needs to observe user's actions such as emails, coupons, friends & interesting people, and recommendations about products[14]

There are three important perspectives of collaborative filtering : 1) Active filtering is used to improve your recommendation and Rate these items 2) Passive filtering is used to work on Your Browsing History, Your Profile. 3) item based filtering focuses on items you own. Amazon.com recommendation system is gradually being evolved by combining those filtering techniques[15].

1.2.5. News Articles

News services have attempted to identify articles of interest to readers, based on the articles that they have read in the past. The similarity might be based on the similarity of important words in the documents, or on the articles that are read by people with similar reading tastes. The same principles apply to recommending blogs from among the millions of blogs available, videos on YouTube, or other sites where content is provided regularly.

II. CONCLUSION

Recommender systems are very popular in the research community, where many approaches have been proposed for providing recommendations. Recommendation system is used for many application areas. We proposed to use recommendation system in matrimony system.

The matrimony recommendation system uses C4.5 with bagging and CART decision tree classification algorithm for classify the perfect match. First the content base filters analysis the user access pattern. Content base filter analyzed the user profile whether the user is looking for bride or groom, age , religion, nationality etc are analyzed. Then according to the user profile matrimony data set is classified by the decision rule mining. It trains the data set and generate rule according to the user access pattern. In matrimony system we use the C4.5 decision rule mining for mining the data and generate rule. These rules are applied on matrimony data set and suggest the suitable match. For performance analysis we calculate the accuracy of the system with C4.5 and then compare the

accuracy of C4.5 with CART. For improving the performance of the system we apply bagging with C4.5.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Hill, L. Stead, M. Rosenstein, and G. Furnas, "Recommending and Evaluating Choices in a Virtual Community of Use," Proc. Conf. Human Factors in Computing Systems, 1995.
- [2] P. Resnick, N. Iakovou, M. Sushak, P. Bergstrom, and J. Riedl, "GroupLens: An Open Architecture for Collaborative Filtering of Netnews," Proc. 1994 Computer Supported Cooperative Work Conf., 1994.
- [3] U. Shardanand and P. Maes, "Social Information Filtering: Algorithms for Automating 'Word of Mouth'," Proc. Conf. Human Factors in Computing Systems, 1995.
- [4] G. Linden, B. Smith, and J. York, "Amazon.com Recommendations: Item-to-Item Collaborative Filtering," IEEE Internet Computing, Jan./Feb. 2003.
- [5] D. Billsus, C.A. Brunk, C. Evans, B. Gladish, and M. Pazzani, "Adaptive Interfaces for Ubiquitous Web Access," Comm. ACM, vol. 45, no. 5, pp. 34-38, 2002.
- [6] B.N. Miller, I. Albert, S.K. Lam, J.A. Konstan, and J. Riedl, "MovieLens Unplugged: Experiences with an Occasionally Connected Recommender System," Proc. Int'l Conf. Intelligent User Interfaces, 2003.
- [7] W. Wade, "A Grocery Cart that Holds Bread, Butter, and Preferences," New York Times, Jan. 16, 2003.
- [8] M. Balabanovic and Y. Shoham, "Fab: Content-Based, Collaborative Recommendation," Comm. ACM, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 66-72, 1997.
- [9] Duan, L., W. N. Street, and E. Xu. Healthcare information systems: data mining methods in the creation of a clinical recommender system. *Enterprise Information Systems* 5 (2), 169-181, 2011.
- [10] Alexandra Uitdenbogerd and van Schyndel Ron. A Review of Factors Affecting Music Recommender. In 3rd International Conference on Music Information Retrieval (2002), 2002.
- [11] O. Celma Herrada. Music Recommendation and Discovery in the Long Tail. PhD Thesis, 2009.
- [12] Xiaocheng Li, Xinliu, Zengjie Zhang, Yongming Xia, Songrong Qian Design of Healthy Eating System based on Web Data Mining 2010 WASE International Conference on Information Engineering
- [13] LINDEN, G., SMITH, B., YORK, J. 2003, Amazon.com recommendations: item-to-item collaborative filtering., *Internet Computing*, IEEE, Volume 7, Issue 1, Jan/Feb 2003 Page(s): 6 - 80., Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/MIC.2003.1167344
- [14] WEIGEND, A. S. 2003. Analyzing customer behavior at Amazon.com. In *Proceedings of the Ninth ACM SIGKDD international Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (Washington, D.C., August 24 - 27, 2003)*. KDD '03. ACM, New York, NY, 5-5. DOI= <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/956750.956754>
- [15] GOOD, N., SCHAFER, J. B., KONSTAN, J. A., BORCHERS, A., SARWAR, B., HERLOCKER, J., AND RIEDL, J. 1999. Combining collaborative filtering with personal agents for better recommendations. In *Proceedings of the Sixteenth National Conference on Artificial intelligence and the Eleventh innovative Applications of Artificial intelligence Conference innovative Applications of Artificial intelligence (Orlando, Florida, United States, July 18 - 22, 1999)*. American Association for Artificial Intelligence, Menlo Park, CA, 439-446.

